UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/755,423	01/12/2004	Terrence E. Remy	Verizon-38 (02-1514)	2064
32127 VERIZON	7590 05/02/200	7	EXAMINER	
PATENT MANAGEMENT GROUP			KUMAR, PANKAJ	
	THOUSE ROAD, SUI VA 22201-2909	TE 500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	,		2611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@VERIZON.COM

				ß		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments		10/755,423	REMY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC. DATE CHI	Pankaj Kumar	2611			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	oears on the cover sheet with the	o correspondence address			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja	anuary 2004.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)🔯	Since this application is in condition for allowa					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)□ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 12 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is c	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) M Notic 2) M Notic 3) M Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summai Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1-15 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- 2. Claims 1-11 are objected to since in claim 1 second line from the bottom, "values" should probably be 'value' since before, "second signal noise measurement value" was recited.
- 3. Claims 12-15 are objected to since in claim 12, ".." should probably be '.'
- 4. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-15 are objected to as indicated above but would be allowable if rewritten.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The art of record does not suggest the respective claim combinations together and nor would the respective claim combinations be obvious with:
- 7. As per claims 1-11: changing said at least one line rate as a function of the result of said comparison of said second signal noise measurement value to said first rate adjustment threshold, when said second signal noise measurement value differs from said first rate adjustment threshold in a pre-selected manner, without performing a resynchronization operation.
- 8. As per claims 12-15: means for requesting a change in line rate from said first modem in response to detecting a signal to noise condition which does not cause a loss in synchronization with said first modem but differs from a predetermined threshold in a preselected manner; means for generating a command to write a new line rate into said customer modem in response to a request for a new line rate received from said customer modem without interfering with an

Art Unit: 2611

established communications session between said first modem and said customer modem and without performing a resynchronization operation.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: USPN 7035249.

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Claim objections above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pankaj Kumar whose telephone number is (571) 272-3011. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pankaj Kumar Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611